

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.D. Bus 1517 Alexandria, Vigeinia 2:313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/779,382 | 02/08/2001 | Adrian P. Wise | 94100422(EP)USCIX1CIDI1 7169 P | |
| 22887 DISCOVISION | 7590 03/08/2007 N ASSOCIATES | EXAMINER | | |
| 2265 E. 220TH STREET | | | NGUYEN, DUSTIN | |
| LONG BEACH, CA 90810 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2154 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/08/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 00/770 202 | VALICE ET AL | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | 09/779,382 Examiner | WISE ET AL. Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Dustin Nguyen | 2154 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address- | | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | pplication is abandoned in view of: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | ice letter mailed on <u>25 July 2006.</u> f Mailing or Transmission dated f month(s)) which expired on |), which is after the expiration of the | | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fil Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 | ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37). | equired by, and within the three-mont | h period set in, the Notice of | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. | | | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | | | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. | | | | | | |
| 7. 🗵 The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| Applicants' attorney, Caroline Do, confirmed abandonment through telephone on 03/02/2007. | | | | | | |
| · | • | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with minimize any negative effects on patent term. | draw the holding of abandonment under 3 | 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notic | e of Abandonment | Part of Paper No. 20070303 | | | | |